



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/509,242

12/09/2004

Isamu Takahara

121238

1263

25944

7590

08/15/2007

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC

P.O. BOX 19928

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320

EXAMINER

BERGIN, JAMES S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3641

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/15/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/509,242	Applicant(s) TAKAHARA ET AL.	
	Examiner James S. Bergin	Art Unit 3641	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) James S. Bergin. (3)_____.

(2) Robert Jackson (703-838-9741). (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 13 August 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 23-25.

Identification of prior art discussed: not discussed.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Jackson called to inform the examiner that a response to the office action of 3/16/2007 and the interview of 7/12/2007 had been filed. The examiner confirmed that the amendment had been received and has a filing date of 7/17/2007. Mr. Jackson indicated that dependent claims 23-25 had been retained rather than being canceled, contrary to what had been previously indicated by Mr. Jackson in the interview of 7/12/2007.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/James S. Bergin/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3641

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required